

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/603,904	SCHUESSLER, DAVID J.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	James Mackey	1722	

**All Participants:**

 (1) James Mackey.

 (2) James Klaiber.
**Status of Application:** Amended

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 August 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 none

Claims discussed:  
 22, 39, 43


Prior art documents discussed:  
 none

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Authorization was given to cancel claims 39 and 43, since the original disclosure does not describe the vent path having a lip at an interior end. Applicant also confirmed that claim 22 has been canceled (as described in the Remarks filed on 20 June 2005, in agreement with the claim status identifier "cancelled")..